

# Report Card 114<sup>th</sup> Congress Senate Votes



A description of the National Association of Police Organizations Legislative Priorities

Representing America's Finest

## NAPO's Priority Votes 114<sup>th</sup> Congress, United States Senate

\*\* In order of Senate Roll Call Vote.

### 1<sup>st</sup> Session, 2015

- 1. **Vote #162: S 178 Human Trafficking Victims' Fund Advertising Child Prostitution.** April 22, 2015. This amendment would make it a crime to advertise commercial sex acts knowing the person was forced, defrauded or coerced to do so or that the person engaged in the commercial sex act is a minor. The amendment would exclude violations due to "reckless disregard of the fact." Adopted 97-2. *NAPO Supported*.
- 2. Vote #163: S 178 Human Trafficking Victims' Fund Passage. April 22, 2015. This bill would establish a Domestic Trafficking Victims' Fund, to which both new criminal penalties and, as amended, matching funds from already appropriated money for community health centers would be transferred. Amounts originating from criminal fines would be prohibited from being used for health care or medical services. The already appropriated funding would be used to award grants that may be used to provide health care and medical services for trafficking victims (that already-appropriated funding is already be subject to the so-called Hyde amendment). The amount of appropriated money transferred to the fund would be at least \$5 million, but no more than \$30 million for each fiscal year. The measure also would include a number of other provisions, including one that would allow for Justice Department block grants to fund activities relating to combating child human trafficking. Passed 99-0. *NAPO Supported*.
- 3. **Vote** #280: S 2146 Sanctuary Cities Cloture. October 20, 2015. Motion to invoke cloture (thus limiting debate) on the motion to proceed to the bill that would withhold federal funds under the Community Development Block Grant Program and the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program from cities and jurisdictions that do not comply with certain federal immigration laws. This measure would create a maximum penalty of ten years for individuals who re-enter the country and have been deported three or more times, and would set a mandatory minimum sentencing requirement of five years for individuals who have been convicted of a felony and re-enter the United States illegally after deportation. Motion rejected 54-45. *NAPO Supported*.
- 4. Vote #339: HR 2029: Fiscal 2016 Omnibus Appropriations and Tax Extenders Motion to Concur. December 18, 2015. Motion to concur in the House amendments to the bill that would provide \$1.15 trillion in discretionary appropriations in fiscal 2016 for federal departments and agencies covered by the 12 unfinished fiscal 2016 spending bills. Included in that total is: \$21.8 billion for Agriculture; \$55.7 billion for Commerce-Justice-Science; \$572.7 billion for Defense, including \$58.6 billion for overseas contingency operations associated with the war in Afghanistan and other counterterrorism operations

such as the fight against the Islamic State; \$37. 2 billion for Energy-Water; \$23.2 billion for Financial Services; \$41 billion for Homeland Security in addition to \$160 million for overseas contingency operations and \$6.7 billion in disaster relief funding; \$32.2 billion for Interior-Environment; \$162.1 billion for Labor-HHS-Education; \$4.4 billion for the Legislative Branch; \$79.9 billion for Military Construction-VA; \$52.8 billion for State-Foreign Operations, including \$14.9 billion in overseas contingency operations; and \$57.6 billion for Transportation-HUD. The measure would end the U.S. ban on crude oil exports and would reauthorize health care and victim compensation programs for 9/11 first-responders. The measure would also make permanent more than a dozen expired or expiring tax provisions, including a modified research and development business tax credit, the American Opportunity Tax Credit and the expansions to the child tax credit and the earned income tax credit. The measure would also extend various other tax provisions for either two or five years, and delay for two years the 2.3 percent medical device tax and the so-called "Cadillac tax" under the 2010 health care law. Motion agreed to 65-33. NAPO Supported.

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Session, 2016

- 5. **Vote** #119: S 3100 Grants for 'Sanctuary Cities' Cloture. July 06, 2016. Motion to invoke cloture (thus limiting debate) on the motion to proceed to the bill that would make states and cities ineligible for certain federal grants if they place restrictions on sharing information about the immigration status of individuals with the federal government or on fulfilling Homeland Security Department (DHS) requests to comply with "detainers," or requests to keep an immigrant in custody. Under the bill, in legal proceedings that challenge the legality of the detention of individuals pursuant to detainers issued by DHS, cities and states would not be liable for actions taken to comply with the detainers and the federal government would instead be the defendant. Motion rejected 53-44. *NAPO Supported*.
- 6. Vote #157: HR 34 Medical Innovation Motion to Concur. December 07, 2016. Motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to the bill that would transfer from the general Treasury over 10 years the following funding into three new accounts in the Treasury: \$4.8 billion for National Institutes of Health research (\$1.8 billion of which would be for cancer research), \$500 million for the Food and Drug Administration and \$1 billion for opioid abuse treatment and response. The funding could only be spent through the appropriations process and would not be subject to discretionary budget caps. The measure also would reauthorize the NIH through fiscal 2020 and would modify the FDA's drug and medical device review and approval process to accelerate the approval and distribution of certain new drugs and devices. It contains provisions related to mental health treatment, including provisions that make changes to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, and also would include modifications to Medicare. The measure would also create new research funding, authorize mental health and criminal justice reforms, and includes grants to fight opioid abuse. Motion agreed to 94-5. NAPO Supported.
- 7. Vote #159: S 2943 Fiscal 2017 Defense Authorization Conference Report. December 8, 2016. Adoption of the conference report on the bill that would authorize \$611.2 billion in discretionary funding for defense programs in fiscal 2017, including \$534.4 billion for the base defense budget and \$67.8 billion for overseas contingency operations, of which \$8.3 billion would be for non-war, base defense budget activities. It would authorize: \$222.4 billion for operations and maintenance; \$139.6 billion for military personnel; \$7.9 billion for military construction and family housing; and \$33.4 billion for defense health care programs. It would provide a 2.1 percent pay raise for military personnel and would prohibit the Defense Department from using funding to transfer detainees at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States, or to close the detention facility at Guantanamo Bay. It did not include a NAPO-opposed provision that would codify President Obama's Executive Order 13688. Adopted (thus cleared for the president) 92-7. NAPO Supported.

**NOTE**: NAPO had several legislative victories in the Senate in the 114<sup>th</sup> Congress that are not included in this report as they were passed by unanimous consent, which does not record how each individual senator voted for the legislation. This document only tracks yea/nay votes in order that we can attribute support or opposition to senators on NAPO's priority legislation and analyze their support for our positions in a meaningful way.

The legislation that passed the Senate by unanimous consent in the 114<sup>th</sup> Congress:

- S. 125: Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) Grant Program Reauthorization Act
- S. 665: Rafael Ramos and Wenjian Liu National Blue Alert Act
- S. 993: Comprehensive Justice and Mental Health Act
- S. 1352: Children of Fallen Heroes Scholarship Act
- S. 2577: Justice for All Reauthorization Act
- S. 2755: Fallen Heroes Flag Act
- S. 2944: Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Improvement Act
- H.R. 606: Don't Tax Our Fallen Public Heroes Act
- H.R. 1527: Slain Officer Family Support Act
- H.R. 3209: Recovering Missing Children Act

For more information on these bills, please view NAPO's Legislative Priorities document on our website, <a href="https://www.napo.org">www.napo.org</a>.



# Report Card 114<sup>th</sup> Congress House Votes



A description of the National Association of Police Organizations Legislative Priorities

Representing America's Finest

## **NAPO's Priority Votes**

114<sup>th</sup> Congress, United States House of Representatives

\*\* In order of House Roll Call Vote

### 1<sup>st</sup> Session, 2015

- 1. Vote #216: HR 606 Public Safety Death Benefit Income Tax Exclusion Passage. May 12, 2015. This bill would exclude from federal income tax federal death benefit payments to family of public safety officers killed in the line of duty and money paid from states to surviving dependents of public safety officers killed in the line of duty. Motion agreed to 413-0. NAPO Supported.
- 2. **Vote #244: S 178 Anti-Human Trafficking Programs and Victims Fund Passage.** May 19, 2015. This bill would authorize a number of programs to combat sex trafficking, particularly sex trafficking of minors. The bill would include provisions that would establish a fund to support trafficking victims, create a new victim-centered grant program to provide assistance to states and local law enforcement to bolster the investigation and prosecution of sex trafficking, and to help victims of such crimes; and bolster the ability of law enforcement to investigate and prosecute sex trafficking, including by targeting individuals who purchase sex from human trafficking victims. Motion agreed to 420-3. *NAPO Supported*.
- 3. **Vote #588: HR 22 Surface Transportation Reauthorization Truck Weight Limits.** November 03, 2015. This amendment would allow states to permit trucks on their Interstate highways that exceed the current weight limit of 80,000 pounds. Trucks would need to be equipped with a sixth axle and could not exceed a gross weight of 91,000 pounds. Rejected. 187-236. *NAPO Opposed*.
- 4. Vote #703: HR 2029 Tax Extenders Motion to Concur. December 17, 2015. This Senate amendment to the bill with an amendment that would renew various expired tax provisions for the 2015 tax year, which would allow businesses and individuals to claim those tax breaks when filing their 2015 tax returns in the new year. It would extend the excise tax on employer-sponsored health benefits plans for two years. Further, it would extend four provisions for five years, including the Work Opportunity Tax Credit and the new markets tax credit. It would make the research and development, a financing exemption for business income earned overseas, certain charitable tax provisions, changes to the child tax credit and the earned income tax credit, and the American Opportunity Tax Credit permanent. It would place a two-year moratorium on the 2010 health care overhaul's medical device tax and would include IRS administrative provisions for the treatment of 501(c)4 social welfare organizations and program integrity initiatives for three refundable tax credits. Motion agreed to 318-109. NAPO Supported.

5. Vote #705: HR 2029 - FY 2016 Omnibus Appropriations - Motion to Concur. December 18, 2015. This Senate amendment to the bill that would provide \$1.15 trillion in discretionary appropriations through Sept. 30, 2016 for federal departments and agencies covered by the fiscal 2016 spending bills. Included in that total is: \$21.75 billion for Agriculture, \$55.7 billion for Commerce-Justice-Science, \$572.7 billion for Defense, \$37.2 billion for Energy-Water, \$23.2 billion for Financial Services, \$41 billion for Homeland Security, \$32.2 billion for Interior-Environment, \$162.1 billion for Labor-HHS-Education, \$4.4 billion for Legislative, \$79.9 billion Military Construction-Veterans Affairs, \$52.8 billion for State-Foreign Operations, and \$114 billion for Transportation-HUD. The measure would end the U.S. ban on crude oil exports and would reauthorize health care and victim compensation programs for 9/11 first-responders. Motion agreed to 316-113. NAPO Supported.

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Session, 2016

- 6. **Vote** #64: **HR** 3036 **National September 11 Memorial Passage.** February 09, 2016. This bill would designate the National September 11 Memorial at the World Trade Center site in New York City as a national memorial, but not a unit of the National Park System. Additionally, the bill would allow the Interior Department, for a maximum of seven years, to award a single grant per year to a nonprofit for the operation and maintenance of a memorial commemorating the victims of the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks and the victims of the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center on Feb. 26, 1993. Motion agreed to 387-12. *NAPO Supported*.
- 7. Vote #167: HR 699 Electronic Communications Warrants Passage. April 27, 2016. This bill would require a government entity to obtain a warrant in order to require a provider of electronic communications or remote computing service, to disclose the contents of a wire or electronic communications. Additionally, the bill would require a government entity to obtain a warrant or the consent of the subscriber or customer in order to require an electronic communication or remote computing service to disclose the record or other information of a subscriber or customer of their service. Motion agreed to 419-0. NAPO Opposed.
- 8. Vote #592: HR 34 Medical Funding Motion to Concur. November 30, 2016. This Senate amendment with an amendment that would reauthorize the National Institutes of Health and Food and Drug Administration, and would modify the FDA's drug and medical device review and approval process to accelerate the approval and distribution of new drugs and devices. The measure would create three dedicated offset funds within the Treasury into which \$6.3 billion would be transferred over 10 years, through 2026. The accounts would include \$4.8 billion for NIH medical research, \$500 million for FDA approval and review modification and \$1 billion for opioid addiction treatment and response. Funding for the accounts would not count against annual budget caps. Within the NIH funding, \$1.8 billion would be for cancer therapy and test development, \$1.5 would be for brain-related research and \$1.5 billion would be for medical treatments related to genetic characteristics. The measure would expand the Health and Human Services Department's oversight of mental health issues, would modify the Medicare program for hospitals, and would allow small employers to provide certain reimbursement plans for employees to purchase their own health insurance. The measure would also create new research funding, authorize mental health and criminal justice reforms, and includes grants to fight opioid abuse. Motion agreed to 392-26. NAPO Supported.
- 9. Vote #600: S 2943 Fiscal 2017 Defense Authorization Conference Report. December 02, 2016. Adoption of the conference report on the bill that would authorize \$611.2 billion for defense programs in fiscal 2017, including \$59.5 billion for overseas operations in Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria. It would authorize \$222.4 billion for operations and maintenance; \$139.6 billion for military personnel; \$7.9 billion for military construction and family housing; \$10 billion for ballistic-missile defense; and \$33.5 billion for defense health care programs, including \$374 million from the overseas operations account. It

would prohibit the use of funds for a new round of base closures. The bill would authorize a 2.1 percent pay raise for military personnel. It would elevate U.S. Cyber Command to an independent major command within the Defense Department. It would prohibit detainees at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, from being transferred to U.S. soil, and would prohibit the closing of the main base and detention facility at Guantanamo. It would extend, through 2017, the authority for several bonus and special payments for military members. It did not include a NAPO-opposed provision that would codify President Obama's Executive Order 13688. Adopted 375-34. NAPO Supported.

**NOTE**: NAPO had several legislative victories in the House of Representatives in the 114<sup>th</sup> Congress that are not included in this report as they were passed by voice vote, which does not record how each individual member voted for the legislation. This document only tracks yea/nay votes in order that we can attribute support or opposition to members of Congress on NAPO's priority legislation and analyze their support for our positions in a meaningful way.

The legislation that passed the House by voice vote in the 114<sup>th</sup> Congress:

- H.R. 181: Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act
- H.R. 285: Stop Advertizing Victims of Exploitation (SAVE) Act
- H.R. 1527: Slain Officer Family Support Act
- H.R. 3209: Recovering Missing Children Act
- S. 125: Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) Grant Program Reauthorization Act
- S. 665: Rafael Ramos and Wenjian Liu National Blue Alert Act
- S. 2755: Fallen Heroes Flag Act
- S. 2577: Justice for All Reauthorization Act

For more information on these bills, please view NAPO's Legislative Priorities document on our website, <a href="https://www.napo.org">www.napo.org</a>.

# **Senate Votes by State**

		Vote	Number:	<u>162</u>	<u>163</u>	<u>280</u>	<u>339</u>	<u>119</u>	<u>157</u>	<u>159</u>
			Year:	2015	2015	2015	2015	2016	2016	2016
		NAPO	O Position:	Yea						
STATE	SENATOR	% Agreed w All Positions*	vith NAPO Report Total**							
Alabama	Sessions, J. (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Alaballia	Shelby (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Alaska	Murkowski (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
AldSkd	Sullivan (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	1	+	+	+
Arizona	Flake (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	1	+	+	+
ATIZOTIA	McCain (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Arkansas	Boozman (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Aikalisas	Cotton (R)	80%	57%	+	+	+	1	+	Χ	X
California	Boxer (D)	67%	57%	+	+	1	Χ	-	+	+
California	Feinstein (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	-	+	+
Colorado	Bennet (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Colorado	Gardner (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Connecticut	Blumenthal (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	-	+	+
Connecticut	Murphy, C. (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Delaware	Carper (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	-	+	+
Delaware	Coons (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	-	+	+
Florida	Nelson (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Horiua	Rubio (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	(-)	+	+	+
Georgia	Isakson (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Georgia	Perdue (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hawaii	Hirono (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Hawaii	Schatz (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+

Idaho	Crapo (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
lualio	Risch (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Illinois	Durbin (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
11111013	Kirk (R)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Indiana	Coats (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
IIIdidila	Donnelly (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
lowa	Ernst (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
10444	Grassley (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Kansas	Moran, Jerry (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Kunsus	Roberts (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kentucky	McConnell (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rentacky	Paul (R)	71%	71%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
Louisiana	Cassidy (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Louisialia	Vitter (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Maine	Collins (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
iviairie	King, A. (I)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Maryland	Cardin (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
iviai yiailu	Mikulski (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Massachusetts	Markey (D)	43%	43%	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
IVIASSACITUSECCS	Warren (D)	57%	57%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
Michigan	Peters, G. (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
iviiciiigaii	Stabenow (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Minnesota	Franken (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Willinesota	Klobuchar (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Mississippi	Cochran (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ινιιοοιοοιμμι	Wicker (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Missouri	Blunt (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
IVIISSOUT	McCaskill (D)	57%	57%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
Montana	Daines (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
IVIOIItalia	Tester (D)	57%	57%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
Nebraska	Fischer (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
INCMI d3Nd	Sasse (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+

Novada	Heller (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nevada	Reid, H. (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Now Homnshire	Ayotte (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
New Hampshire	Shaheen (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
New Jersey	Booker (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
New Jersey	Menendez (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	1	+	+
New Mexico	Heinrich (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
New Mexico	Udall (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
New York	Gillibrand (D)	57%	57%	+	+	1	+	1	+	1
New Tork	Schumer (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	1	+	+
North Carolina	Burr (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
North Carollia	Tillis (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
North Dakota	Heitkamp (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
North Dakota	Hoeven (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ohio	Brown, S. (D)	83%	71%	+	+	-	+	Χ	+	+
Onio	Portman (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Oklahoma	Inhofe (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Okidilollid	Lankford (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Oregon	Merkley (D)	29%	29%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
O C GOII	Wyden (D)	14%	14%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	Casey (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Temisyivama	Toomey (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Rhode Island	Reed, J. (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Milode Island	Whitehouse (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
South Carolina	Graham, L. (R)	100%	71%	+	+	Χ	+	Χ	+	+
Journ Caronna	Scott, T. (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
South Dakota	Rounds (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Thune (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Tennessee	Alexander (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Termessee	Corker (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Texas	Cornyn (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Cruz (R)	80%	57%	Χ	X	+	-	+	+	+
Litah	Hatch (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Otali	Lee, M. (R)	50%	43%	+	+	+	-	Χ	-	-
Vermont	Leahy (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	-	+	+
vermont	Sanders (I)	29%	29%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	Kaine (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	1	+	+
Viigilia	Warner (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
Washington	Cantwell (D)	57%	57%	1	+	1	+	1	+	+
wasiiiigtoii	Murray (D)	71%	71%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
West Virginia	Capito (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
west viigiiid	Manchin (D)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Wisconsin	Baldwin (D)	71%	71%	+	+	1	+	1	+	+
VVISCOTISTIT	Johnson, R. (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wyoming	Barrasso (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
vv yollillig	Enzi (R)	86%	86%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+

Vote Key	
+' Member's yea/nay vote agrees with NAPO's position	-' Member's yea/nay vote disagrees with NAPO's position
(-) Member's announced/paired position disagrees with NAPO's position	(+) Member's announced/paired position agrees with NAPO's position
X Member did not cast a yea/nay vote or officially declare a position	I Member was ineligible to vote

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;All positions" takes into account member's announced/paired positions in addition to yea/nay votes

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Report total" only takes into account member's yea/nay votes

# **House Votes by State**

			Vot	e Number:	<u>193</u>	<u>216</u>	<u>244</u>	<u>588</u>	<u>703</u>	<u>705</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>592</u>	<u>600</u>
				Year:	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2016	2016	2016	2016
			NAP	O Position:	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea
			% Agreed v	vith NAPO										
STATE	DISTRICT	MEMBER	All Positions*	Report Total**										
	4	Aderholt (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	5	Brooks, M. (R)	50%	50%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
	1	Byrne (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	Х	-	+	+
Alabama	6	Palmer (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
	2	Roby (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	3	Rogers, Mike D. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	7	Sewell (D)	56%	50%	-	Х	+	-	-	+	+	(-)	+	(+)
Alaska	At Large	Young, D. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
American Samoa	At Large	Radewagen (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	8	Franks (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	7	Gallego, Ruben (D)	60%	60%	1	+	+	+	-	-	(+)	-	+	+
	4	Gosar (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	3	Grijalva (D)	40%	40%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
Arizona	1	Kirkpatrick (D)	75%	60%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	Х	Х
	2	McSally (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	5	Salmon (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	6	Schweikert (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	9	Sinema (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Crawford (R)	67%	60%	+	Х	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
Arkansas	2	Hill (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
Aikalisas	4	Westerman (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	ı	+	-	+	+
	3	Womack (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	31	Aguilar (D)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	(+)
	37	Bass (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	34	Becerra (D)	50%	50%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
	7	Bera (D)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	26	Brownley (D)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	42	Calvert (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	24	Capps (D)	67%	60%	-	(+)	X	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	29	Cardenas (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	27	Chu (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	8	Cook (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	16	Costa (D)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	X	-	+	+

Davis, S. (D) 70% 53 70% + + + + + + + 10 80% 80% Denham (R) -+ + + + + + -+ + 11 DeSaulnier (D) 60% 60% + + + + + + 18 Eshoo (D) 70% 70% \_ + + + \_ + + + \_ + 20 Farr (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 3 Garamendi (D) 80% 80% + + + + + + + + 44 Hahn (D) 75% Χ 60% Χ + + + + + + 17 Honda (D) 60% 60% + + + + + + 2 Huffman (D) 60% 60% + + + + + 50 Hunter (R) 80% 80% + + + + + + + + 49 Issa (R) 89% 80% Χ + + + + + 25 Knight (R) 80% 80% + + + + + + + + \_ LaMalfa (R) 70% 70% 1 + + + \_ + + + + 13 Lee, B. (D) 50% 50% + + + + + 33 Lieu (D) 56% 50% Χ -+ + + + + California 19 Lofgren (D) 50% 50% (-) + + + + + 47 70% Lowenthal (D) 70% + + + + + + + 6 Matsui (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 23 McCarthy (R) 80% 80% \_ + + + + + + + -+ McClintock (R) 70% 70% 4 + + + + + + \_ + 80% 9 McNerney (D) 80% -+ + + + + + \_ + + Napolitano (D) 32 70% 70% + + + + + + + 22 80% 80% Nunes (R) + + + + + + + + 12 Pelosi (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 52 Peters, S. (D) 90% 90% + + + + + + + + + 48 Rohrabacher (R) 70% 70% + + + + + + Roybal-Allard (D) 70% 40 70% + + + + + + + 39 Royce (R) 90% 90% + + + + + + + 36 Ruiz (D) 78% 70% + Χ + + + + + + \_ 38 Sanchez, Linda (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 71% 50% 46 Sanchez, Loretta (D) + + Χ + + Χ + Χ 28 Schiff (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 30 Sherman (D) 80% 80% + + + + + + + + 14 Speier (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 15 Swalwell (D) 80% 80% + + + + + + + + Takano (D) 50% 50% \_ 41 + \_ \_ + -+ + \_ + 5 Thompson, M. (D) 70% 70% + + + + + + + 70% 35 Torres (D) 70% \_ + + + \_ + + \_ + + 21 Valadao (R) 80% 80% + + + + + + + + 51 70% Vargas (D) 70% + + + + + + + 45 Walters (R) 90% 90% + + + + + + + + + 60% 43 Waters (D) 60% + + + + + + Buck (R) 40% 4 44% Χ + + + + 6 Coffman (R) 80% 80% + + + + + + + +

	1	DeGette (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
Colorado	5	Lamborn (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	7	Perlmutter (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Polis (D)	40%	40%	-	+	+		-	-	+	-	+	-
	3	Tipton (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	Courtney (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	DeLauro (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
Connecticut	5	Esty (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Himes (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	(+)	-	+	+
	1	Larson, J. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	(+)	+	+	+	-	+	+
Delaware	AL	Carney (D)	50%	40%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	X	Х
District of Columbia	AL	Norton (D)	0%	0%	I	I	I	- 1	I	ı	ļ	I	I	I
	12	Bilirakis (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
_	5	Brown, C. (D)	63%	50%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	Х	Х
	16	Buchanan (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	14	Castor (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	19	Clawson (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
-	4	Crenshaw (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	26	Curbelo (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
-	6	DeSantis (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
_	21	Deutch (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	(+)	+	+	-	+	+
_	25	Diaz-Balart (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
_	22	Frankel (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
_	2	Graham, G. (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	9	Grayson (D)	56%	50%	-	+	X	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
Florida	20	Hastings (D)	75%	60%	-	+	Х	+	-	+	+	Х	+	+
-	13	Jolly (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	Х	+
-	7	Mica (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
-	1 10	Miller, J. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
-	18	Murphy, P. (D)	80%	80% 70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
-	11 8	Nugent (R) Posey (R)	88% 70%	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	X +	X +
_	<u>8</u> 	Rooney (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
-	27	Ros-Lehtinen (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
-	15	Ross (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	_	+	+
-														
-	23 10	Wasserman Schultz (D)	88%	70%	X	+	+	+	-	+	+	Х	+	+
-		Webster (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
-	24 3	Wilson, F. (D) Yoho (R)	70% 70%	70% 70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ (+)	-	(+)	+
	12	Allen (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	(+)	-	+	+
	2	Bishop, S. (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Carter, E.L. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	9	Collins, D. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	-	+	+
-	14	Graves, T. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	(+)	-	+	+
	14	Graves, 1. (II)	00/0	00/0	F	r	F	_	Г	F	(*)	_	F	Т

	10	Hice (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	+
	4	Johnson, H. (D)	60%	60%	_	+	+	+	<u>'</u>	_	+	_	+	+
Georgia	5	Lewis (D)	56%	50%	(-)	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	X	-
	11	Loudermilk (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Price, T. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Scott, A. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	-	+	+
	13	Scott, D. (D)	80%	80%		+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Westmoreland, L. (R)	83%	50%	+	+	+	_	+	+	X	X	X	X
	7	Woodall (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Gabbard (D)	78%	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	X	-
Hawaii	1	Hanabusa (D)	100%	20%	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	ı	+	+
nawan	1	Takai (D)	88%	70%	+	+	+	(+)	+	+	+	-	i	i
	1	Labrador (R)	56%	50%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	_	-	X
Idaho	2	Simpson (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	+	+
	12	Bost (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	17	Bustos (D)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	7	Davis, D. (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	_	+	+
	13	Davis, B. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	_	+	+
	10	Dold (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	8	Duckworth (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	11	Foster (D)	70%	70%	_	+	+	+	-	+	+	_	+	+
	4	Gutierrez (D)	44%	40%	_	X	+	+	_	-	+	(-)	+	-
	14	Hultgren (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-	+	+
Illinois	2	Kelly, R. (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	_	+	+
	16	Kinzinger (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	18	LaHood (R)	57%	40%	ı	I	I	-	+	-	+	_	+	+
	3	Lipinski (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	_	+	+
	5	Quigley (D)	80%	80%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	6	Roskam (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	_	+	+
	1	Rush (D)	63%	50%	-	X	+	+	-	+	X	_	+	+
	9	Schakowsky (D)	50%	50%	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	-	-
	15	Shimkus (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	5	Brooks, S. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+		+	+	+	_	+	+
	8	Bucshon (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	7	Carson (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+		+	+	_	+	+
	6	Messer (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	+	+
Indiana	4	Rokita (R)	80%	80%	+	(+)	+	_	+	+	+	_	+	+
malana	3	Stutzman (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	_	+		X	_	+	+
	1	Visclosky (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	+
	2	Walorski (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	9	Young, T. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	_	+		+	_	+	+
	1	Blum (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	_	+	+
	4	King, S. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	_	+	-	+	-	+	+
Iowa	2	Loebsack (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
		LOGDSACK (D)	0U%	OU70	_	_ +	+	_ +	+	_ +	_ +	_	+	

I	3	Young, D. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	+
	1	Huelskamp (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	-	-	+
	2	Jenkins, L. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	+
Kansas	4	Pompeo (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	X
	3	Yoder (R)	89%	80%	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Barr (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Guthrie (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Massie (R)	30%	30%	+	+	-	_	+	-	-	_	-	-
Kentucky	5	Rogers, H. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Whitfield (R)	75%	60%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	1	I
	3	Yarmuth (D)	50%	40%	(-)	+	+	X	-	+	X	_	+	-
	5	Abraham (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	3	Boustany (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	4	Fleming (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	_	+	+
Louisiana	6	Graves, G. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-	+	+
	2	Richmond (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	X	-	+	+
	1	Scalise (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Pingree (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Maine	2	Poliquin (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	-	+	+
	7	Cummings (D)	70%	70%	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Delaney (D)	80%	80%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Edwards (D)	70%	70%	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	+
	1	Harris (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+
Maryland	5	Hoyer (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Ruppersberger (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Sarbanes (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Van Hollen (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	(-)	+	+
	7	Capuano (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	+	+	Х	-	+	-
	5	Clark, K. (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
	9	Keating (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Kennedy (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	+	-	+	-
Massachusetts	8	Lynch (D)	78%	70%	-	Х	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	McGovern (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Moulton (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Neal (D)	89%	80%	Х	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Tsongas (D)	67%	60%	-	+	Х	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Amash (R)	30%	30%	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1	Benishek (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Bishop, M. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	13	Conyers (D)	50%	50%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	12	Dingell (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Huizenga (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	(+)	-	+	+
Michigan	5	Kildee (D)	75%	60%	-	+	+	+	Х	Х	+	-	+	+
wiichigan	14	Lawrence (D)	78%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	Х	+	+

	0	L - : - (D)	700/	700/		_	_	_		_			_	_
	9	Levin (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	10	Miller, C. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Moolenaar (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	11	Trott (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Upton (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	7	Walberg (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	5	Ellison (D)	50%	50%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	(-)
	6	Emmer (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	Kline, J. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
Minnesota	4	McCollum (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Nolan (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Paulsen (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	7	Peterson (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Walz (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Harper (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Kelly, (R)	86%	60%	1		- 1	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Mississippi	1	Nunnelee (R)	0%	0%	I	1	1	I	1	I	I	I	I	I
	4	Palazzo (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Thompson, B. (D)	67%	60%	Х	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
	1	Clay (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	Х	-	+	+
	5	Cleaver (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Graves, S. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Missouri	4	Hartzler (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
IVIISSOUTI	7	Long (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	3	Luetkemeyer (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Smith, J. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	Wagner (R)	80%	80%	(+)	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
Montana	At Large	Zinke (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Ashford (D)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Nebraska	1	Fortenberry (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	3	Smith, Adrian (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	Amodei (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	4	Hardy (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
Nevada	3	Heck, J. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	1	Titus (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Guinta (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
New Hampshire	2	Kuster (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	11	Frelinghuysen (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	-	+	+
	5	Garrett (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	Х
	7	Lance (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	LoBiondo (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	MacArthur (R)	100%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х	+	+
	1	Norcross (D)	80%	80%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
New Jersey	6	Pallone (D)	60%	60%	_	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	U	i allolle (D)	0070	0070	_	-	Т	T	_	т	т	_	<b>—</b>	

	9	Pascrell (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	10	Payne (D)	67%	60%	Х	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Sires (D)	78%	70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	Х	-	+	+
	4	Smith, C. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	12	Watson Coleman (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	3	Lujan, B. (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
New Mexico	1	Lujan Grisham, M. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Pearce (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	9	Clarke, Y. (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	27	Collins, C. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
	14	Crowley (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	-	+	+
	11	Donovan (R)	86%	60%	I		Х	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	16	Engel (D)	78%	70%	-	Χ	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	19	Gibson, C. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	22	Hanna (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	(+)	(-)	+	+
	26	Higgins (D)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Israel (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Jeffries (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	24	Katko (R)	78%	70%	+	Χ	+	-	+	+	+	•	+	+
	2	King, P. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•	+	+
	17	Lowey (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
New York	12	Maloney, C. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	18	Maloney, S. (D)	89%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х	-	+	+
	5	Meeks (D)	78%	70%	-	+	+	X	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Meng (D)	78%	70%	-	Χ	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	10	Nadler (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	Х	+	+	-	+	-
	13	Rangel (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	Х	+
	23	Reed, T. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Rice, K. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	15	Serrano (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	25	Slaughter (D)	78%	70%	Х	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	21	Stefanik (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	20	Tonko (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	7	Velazquez (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
	1	Zeldin (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	12	Adams (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Butterfield (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	Х
	2	Ellmers (R)	89%	80%	+	+	+	Х	+	+	+	-	+	+
	5	Foxx (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
	13	Holding (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	8	Hudson (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	Х	-	+	+
North Carolina	3	Jones (R)	50%	40%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	Х	Х
	10	McHenry (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	11	Meadows (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+

Ī	9	Pittenger (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	(-)	+	+
	4	Price, D. (D)	80%	80%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	7	Rouzer (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Walker (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
North Dakota	At Large	Cramer (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	Х	-	+	+
	3	Beatty (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Boehner (R)	0%	0%	Х	Х	Х	I	I	I	I	ı	I	I
	1	Chabot (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Davidson (R)	100%	20%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	+
	11	Fudge (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
	7	Gibbs, B. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	6	Johnson, B. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Jordan (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
Ohio	14	Joyce (R)	89%	80%	+	+	+	+	Х	+	+	-	+	+
	9	Kaptur (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	5	Latta (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	16	Renacci (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	13	Ryan, T. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	15	Stivers (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	12	Tiberi (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	10	Turner (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Wenstrup (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Bridenstine (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
	4	Cole (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Oklahoma	3	Lucas (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Mullin (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	Х	-	+	+
	5	Russell (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Blumenauer (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
	1	Bonamici (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Oregon	4	DeFazio (D)	60%	60%	(-)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	(-)
	5	Schrader (D)	40%	40%	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
	2	Walden (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	11	Barletta (R)	78%	70%	+	Х	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	13	Boyle (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Brady, R. (D)	63%	50%	-	+	Х	Х	-	+	+	-	+	+
	17	Cartwright (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Costello (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	15	Dent (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	14	Doyle (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Fattah (D)	67%	40%	-	+	+	Х	-	+	+	Х		l l
Pennsylvania	8	Fitzpatrick (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
	3	Kelly (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	10	Marino (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	Х	-	+	+
	7	Meehan (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+

	18	Murphy, T. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	4	Perry (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+		+		+	_	+	+
	16	Pitts (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	_	+	+
	12	Rothfus (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	_	+	+
	9	Shuster (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+
	5	Thompson, G. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Cicilline (D)	80%	80%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
Rhode Island	2	Langevin (D)	80%	80%	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	6	Clyburn (D)	70%	70%	_	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	+
	3	Duncan, Jeff (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	_	-	+	+
	4	Gowdy (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	Х	-	+	+
South Carolina	5	Mulvaney (R)	50%	50%	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
	7	Rice, T. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Sanford (R)	50%	50%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
	2	Wilson, J. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
South Dakota		Noem (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Black, D. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	7	Blackburn, M. (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	9	Cohen (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
	5	Cooper (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
Tennessee	4	DesJarlais (R)	60%	60%	+	(+)	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
	2	Duncan, John (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
	8	Fincher (R)	57%	40%	+	Χ	+	-	+	(-)	Х	-	+	Х
	3	Fleischmann (R)	78%	70%	+	Х	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Roe (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	36	Babin (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	1	+	-	+	-	-	+
	6	Barton (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Brady, K. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	•	+	+	+	-	+	+
	26	Burgess (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
	31	Carter, J. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	20	Castro (D)	60%	60%	-	+	+	-	-	+	(+)	-	+	+
	11	Conaway (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	28	Cuellar (D)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	X	(+)	+	-	+	+
	7	Culberson (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	35	Doggett (D)	50%	50%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
	27	Farenthold (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
	17	Flores (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	Х	-	+	(+)
	1	Gohmert (R)	71%	50%	+	+	+	Х	+	-	Х	Х	-	+
	12	Granger (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	9	Green, A. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	29	Green, G. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	-	+	+
	5	Hensarling (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
Texas	15	Hinojosa (D)	75%	60%	Х	Х	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
1 5	23	Hurd (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+

	18	Jackson Lee (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	(+)	-	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Johnson, S. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	30	Johnson, E. (D)	78%	70%	-	+	+	+	+	Х	+	-	+	+
	24	Marchant (R)	89%	80%	+	Х	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	10	McCaul (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	(-)	+	+
	19	Neugebauer (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	16	O'Rourke (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	22	Olson (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	2	Poe (R)	75%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	Х	Х
	4	Ratcliffe (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+
	32	Sessions, P. (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	21	Smith, Lamar (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	13	Thornberry (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	33	Veasey (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	34	Vela (D)	63%	50%	-	+	+	-	+	+	Х	-	+	Х
	14	Weber (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
	25	Williams (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	Х	(+)
	1	Bishop, R. (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	•	+	+	+	-	+	Х
111.1	3	Chaffetz (R)	78%	70%	+	+	Х	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
Utah	4	Love (R)	78%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	Х
	2	Stewart (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
Vermont	At Large	Welch (D)	50%	50%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
	8	Beyer (D)	67%	60%	-	+	+	+	-	+	Х	-	+	+
	7	Brat (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
	10	Comstock (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	11	Connolly (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Forbes (R)	67%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	Х	+
Virginia	6	Goodlatte (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	9	Griffith (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
	5	Hurt (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	Rigell (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	(+)	+
	3	Scott, R. (D)	60%	60%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Wittman (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
	1	DelBene (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	10	Heck, D. (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Herrera Beutler (R)	75%	60%	Х	+	+	-	+	+	Х	_	+	+
	6	Kilmer (D)	80%	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	2	Larsen, R. (D)	70%	70%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
Washington	7	McDermott (D)	44%	40%	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	X
	5	McMorris Rodgers (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	_	+	+
	4	Newhouse (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	8	Reichert (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	9	Smith, Adam (D)	60%	60%	(-)	+	+	-	-	+	(+)	-	+	+
	3	Jenkins, E. (R)	90%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
	J	Jenkins, L. (II)	3070	JU/0					'				•	4


West Virginia	1	McKinley (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
	2	Mooney (R)	70%	70%	+	+	+	-	+	1	+	-	+	+
	7	Duffy (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	6	Grothman (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	3	Kind (D)	60%	60%	1	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
	4	Moore (D)	67%	60%	1	+	Χ	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
Wisconsin	2	Pocan (D)	50%	50%	1	+	+	+	-	1	+	-	+	-
	8	Ribble (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
	1	Ryan, P. (R)	100%	40%	+	+	+	Χ	Χ	+	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ
	5	Sensenbrenner (R)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	ı	-	+	+
Wyoming	At Large	Lummis (R)	50%	50%	•	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+

Vote Key	
+' Member's yea/nay vote agrees with NAPO's position	-' Member's yea/nay vote disagrees with NAPO's position
(-) Member's announced/paired position disagrees with NAPO's position	(+) Member's announced/paired position agrees with NAPO's position
X Member did not cast a yea/nay vote or officially declare a position	I Member was ineligible to vote

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;All positions" takes into account member's announced/paired positions in addition to yea/nay votes


<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Report total" only takes into account member's yea/nay votes

## **Summary of NAPO Support in the 114th Congress**



## **Summary of NAPO Support in the 114th Congress**

## NAPO SUPPORT BY PARTY



## **Support by State**

STATE	<u>% Agreed</u>	Average Total % State		
	SENATE	HOUSE	Support	
Alabama	86%	65%	76%	
Alaska	93%	80%	87%	
Arizona	86%	68%	77%	
Arkansas	83%	74%	79%	
California	69%	73%	71%	
Colorado	86%	63%	75%	
Connecticut	71%	76%	74%	
Delaware	71%	50%	61%	
Florida	79%	77%	75%	
Georgia	100%	76%	88%	
Hawaii	71%	89%	80%	
Idaho	86%	68%	77%	
Illinois	71%	75%	73%	
Indiana	100%	77%	89%	
Iowa	86%	73%	80%	
Kansas	93%	72%	83%	
Kentucky	86%	69%	78%	
Louisiana	86%	78%	82%	
Maine	86%	80%	73%	
Maryland	71%	74%	73%	
Massachusetts	50%	76%	63%	
Michigan	71%	74%	73%	
Minnesota	71%	73%	72%	
Mississippi	100%	67%	84%	
Missouri	79%	78%	79%	
Montana	72%	80%	76%	

## **States by Support**

STATE	Average % State Agreed with NAPO Position
Oklahoma	90%
Indiana	89%
Georgia	88%
Alaska	87%
South Dakota	87%
West Virginia	87%
Mississippi	84%
Tennessee	84%
Texas	84%
Kansas	83%
North Carolina	83%
Louisiana	82%
Nebraska	82%
North Dakota	82%
Nevada	81%
New Hampshire	81%
Wisconsin	81%
Hawaii	80%
lowa	80%
Ohio	80%
Arkansas	79%
Missouri	79%
South Carolina	79%
Kentucky	78%
Pennsylvania	78%
Arizona	77%

Nebraska	86%	77%	82%
Nevada	86%	75%	81%
New Hampshire	86%	75%	81%
New Jersey	71%	77%	74%
New Mexico	71%	77%	74%
New York	64%	77%	71%
North Carolina	93%	73%	83%
North Dakota	86%	78%	82%
Ohio	85%	75%	80%
Oklahoma	100%	80%	90%
Oregon	22%	66%	44%
Pennsylvania	79%	77%	78%
Rhode Island	71%	80%	76%
South Carolina	93%	65%	79%
South Dakota	93%	80%	87%
Tennessee	100%	68%	84%
Texas	90%	77%	84%
Utah	75%	79%	77%
Vermont	50%	50%	50%
Virginia	71%	71%	71%
Washington	64%	74%	69%
West Virginia	93%	80%	87%
Wisconsin	86%	75%	81%
Wyoming	93%	50%	72%

Idaho	77%
Utah	77%
Alabama	76%
Montana	76%
Rhode Island	76%
Colorado	75%
Florida	75%
Connecticut	74%
New Jersey	74%
New Mexico	74%
Illinois	73%
Maine	73%
Maryland	73%
Michigan	73%
Minnesota	72%
Wyoming	72%
California	71%
New York	71%
Virginia	71%
Washington	69%
Massachusetts	63%
Delaware	61%
Vermont	50%
Oregon	44%